

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

'144 PATENT

1. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has directly infringed claim 41 of U.S. Patent No. 7,810,144 (the '144 patent)?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has infringed claim 41 of the '144 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes ☒ No ☐

3. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility contributed to the infringement of claim 41 of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes ☒ No ☐

4. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility induced the infringement of claim 41 of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 41 of the '144 patent is invalid based on obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

Yes ☐ No ☒

6. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 41 of the '144 patent is invalid for failing to satisfy the written description requirement?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

Yes _____ No ☒

'450 PATENT

7. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has literally infringed any asserted claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,409,450 (the '450 patent)?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B)	Claim 5	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C)	Claim 8	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D)	Claim 9	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

8. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility infringed claim 8 of the '450 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes _____ No ☒

9. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility contributed to the infringement of any asserted claim of the '450 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B)	Claim 5	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C)	Claim 8	Yes _____	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(D) Claim 9 Yes _____ No ☒

10. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility induced the infringement of any asserted claim of the '450 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

(A) Claim 1 Yes _____ No ☒

(B) Claim 5 Yes _____ No ☒

(C) Claim 8 Yes _____ No ☒

(D) Claim 9 Yes _____ No ☒

11. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the asserted claims of the '450 patent are invalid based on obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

(A) Claim 1 Yes ☒ No _____

(B) Claim 5 Yes ☒ No _____

(C) Claim 8 Yes ☒ No _____

(D) Claim 9 Yes ☒ No _____